# **Research Annals of Industrial and Systems Engineering**



www.raise.reapress.com

Res. Ann. Ind. Syst. Eng. Vol. 1, No. 1 (2024) 21-33.

### Paper Type: Original Article

# **Optimizing Business Processes through SAF: A New**

# Frontier in Performance Management

#### Sasan Sepasi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Seyyed Hamid Tabatabaei Rafiei<sup>2</sup>, Bahram Madandar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Planning Manager of Afzoon Ravan Company, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran; sepasi.s@afzoonravan.com.

<sup>2</sup> CEO of Afzoon Ravan Company, Industrial Management Institute, Tehran, Iran; hamid@afzoonravan.com.

<sup>3</sup> HR Manager of Afzoon Ravan Company, Science & Research Branch of Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran;

madandar.b@afzoonravan.com.

#### Citation:

Received: 16 March 2024	Sepasi, S., Tabatabaei Rafiei, S. H., & Madandar, B. (2024). Optimizing
Revised: 11 April 2024	business processes through SAF: a new frontier in performance
Accepted: 18 June 2024	management. Research annals of industrial and systems engineering, 1 (1),
	21-33.

#### Abstract

This paper offers an insightful examination of the Strategic Alignment Framework (SAF) as a novel approach to optimizing business processes [1]. The SAF serves as an innovative tool for aligning organizational Objectives and Key Results (OKRs) with critical performance metrics [2]. Using a case study of Afzoon Ravan Company, this paper empirically validates the framework's effectiveness in streamlining business processes and improving performance management [3].

Keywords: Strategic alignment framework, Critical success factors, Business process management, Objectives and key results, Performance metrics, Afzoon Ravan company, Empirical validation.

# 1|Introduction

In an era where agility and adaptability are not merely advantages but prerequisites for survival, businesses seek ways to optimize their performance management systems. While a myriad of frameworks exist for this purpose, few effectively bridge the gap between organizational strategy and real-world outcomes. This article introduces the Strategic Alignment Framework (SAF), a groundbreaking approach rooted in socio-technical systems theory, as a robust solution for contemporary challenges in Business Process Management (BPM).

SAF uniquely synthesizes Objectives, Key Results (OKRs), and Critical Success Factors (CSFs) into a single framework, offering a comprehensive instrument for qualitative and financial performance metrics. This innovative integration has been empirically validated through a case study on Afzoon Ravan Company, a

Corresponding Author: sepasi.s@afzoonravan.com

doi https://doi.org/10.22105/raise.v1i1.32



leading entity in importing and exporting base oils and additives. Our study represents a confluence of academic research and industrial practice, providing actionable insights for strategic planning and managerial decision-making in BPM.

The article is structured as follows. We review existing literature to set the context and identify the gaps that SAF aims to fill. It is followed by the methodology section that delineates the research design, data collection, and analysis methods. We then present the evaluation of SAF based on the case study and conclude with a discussion of the results and future research directions.

# 2 | Literature Review

The literature on BPM is vast and varied, encompassing a range of methods, models, and frameworks designed to enhance organizational performance. The Balanced Scorecard (BSC) and Objectives and Key Results (OKRs) are among the most widely adopted performance management frameworks [4], [5]. While these methods offer valuable insights into performance measurement and strategy implementation, they often fail to provide an integrated approach for aligning qualitative and financial metrics [2], [6].

The concept of CSFs has been introduced as a complementary tool to enhance the effectiveness of existing frameworks [7], [8]. However, the literature shows that integrating CSFs into other performance management frameworks is not straightforward [1]. This results in a gap where organizations struggle with a piecemeal approach to performance management, often leading to misaligned strategies and suboptimal outcomes.

Socio-technical systems theory offers a promising foundation for addressing these gaps. Rooted in the interplay between social and technical elements within an organization, socio-technical systems theory provides a holistic lens for understanding performance management [9], [10]. However, its application in integrating OKRs and CSFs has been largely unexplored.

Our study aims to fill this significant gap by introducing the SAF. This innovative approach synthesizes OKRs and CSFs under socio-technical systems theory. In doing so, SAF presents a unified and dynamic instrument for monitoring and optimizing both qualitative and substantial financial metrics, thereby contributing to the advancement of BPM practices.

# 3 | Theoretical Framework

To elevate organizational performance, this research innovatively amalgamates OKRs, BSC, and CSFs into a singular, comprehensive system for performance management [5], [11].

### OKRs: dynamic facilitators for strategic objectives

As agile instruments, OKRs facilitate the crafting and continual monitoring of strategic organizational goals characterized by qualitative objectives and measurable key results [12].

### BSC: an all-encompassing performance measurement model

The BSC avails a multi-faceted framework for gauging performance, extending across financial, customerfocused, internal processes, and growth metrics. Nonetheless, its structural inflexibility often hampers quick adaptational responses [4], [13].

### CSFs as agile counterparts to BSC's rigid perspectives

This research employs CSFs as malleable alternatives to the traditionally inflexible perspectives in BSC. This methodological choice capitalizes on OKRs' inherent adaptability, yielding a system highly responsive to contemporary business volatility [1], [3], [11]. Importantly, this innovative approach gains its potency from the flexibility inherent in OKRs, a feature often lacking in the perspectives component of BSC.

CSFs are outlined as indispensable elements in efficaciously achieving organizational missions and goals. Howell's methodology is particularly salient here due to its adaptable and instantly applicable nature [14].

#### Incorporating tangible financial metrics

Significant financial parameters, including Return on Investment (ROI) and Net Profit Margin, are integrated into the model, thereby infusing it with a tangible evaluation layer.

#### Sensitivity analysis in the theoretical framework

Sensitivity analysis is a method used to evaluate the robustness of relationships between OKRs, CSFs, and financial metrics within a theoretical framework. It offers a quantitative approach to validate the framework's empirical foundation and its relevance in dynamic business environments.

#### Harmonizing academic insights and practical implementations

The conceptual architecture established in this study aims to reconcile scholarly theory with its real-world applications across a range of organizational setups.

### SAF: an empirical and theoretical approach to performance management

This section elucidates an intricate model that seamlessly incorporates CSFs—identified through Howell's method—within the OKRs framework. The proposed model endeavors to amalgamate strategic and operational elements, providing a comprehensive performance management and evaluation approach.

# 4 | The Imperative for an Integrated Framework

The contemporary organizational landscape necessitates performance management systems that are both flexible and integrative. Although extant frameworks like OKRs and BSC offer valuable insights, their isolated application lacks a holistic approach. Therefore, this study presents an enhanced conceptual model that synergizes OKRs, CSFs, and financial metrics, proffering a unified performance management system.

#### Components of the SAF model

- I. Mission and vision: foundational elements directing organizational strategy.
- II. Strategic goals: long-term objectives emanating from the mission and vision.
- III. CSFs: vital elements for organizational success, categorized into: 1) strategic CSFs: congruent with organizational vision, and 2) operational CSFs: congruent with organizational mission.
- IV. Strategic OKRs: aligned with strategic CSFs.
- V. Operational OKRs: aligned with operational CSFs.
- VI. Financial metrics: metrics such as ROI, profitability, and market share.

#### Performance management

- I. Quarterly reviews: predicated upon operational OKRs.
- II. Annual reviews: predicated upon strategic OKRs.
- III. IT and Business Intelligence (BI): tools facilitating OKR tracking.
- IV. Psychological and social factors: influences like organizational culture and employee motivation.
- V. Performance appraisal: methodology for calculating and distributing bonuses based on metrics.

#### Interconnections among components

- I. The mission and vision inform the strategic goals.
- II. Strategic goals guide CSF identification.
- III. CSFs serve as conduits between strategic goals and OKRs.
- IV. OKRs are developed in alignment with financial metrics.

- V. Performance management encapsulates the aforementioned components.
- VI. IT and BI tools enable effective OKR tracking.
- VII. Psychological and social factors modulate OKR efficacy.

#### The operational flow of SAF

- I. Mission and vision serve as foundational elements.
- II. Strategic goals are formulated.
- III. CSFs are identified.
- IV. OKRs are developed.
- V. Financial metrics are selected.
- VI. Performance management protocols are enacted.
- VII. IT and BI tools are deployed.
- VIII. Psychological and social factors are integrated.
- IX. Performance appraisal is executed.

#### External variables

Market trends, competition, and regulatory influences are dynamic factors affecting the entire framework and require ongoing surveillance.

#### Feedback mechanism and iterative steps: a dynamic approach

Feedback mechanism: the model incorporates a continuous feedback loop from financial metrics to OKRs, ensuring real-time adjustments of strategies based on performance outcomes. This feedback mechanism adds a layer of dynamism, facilitating the evolution of strategic objectives in response to measured results.

#### Iterative steps in the SAF model

- I. Identification of CSFs: derived from the organization's mission, vision, and strategic goals.
- II. Performance appraisal: utilizes OKRs that are aligned with the identified CSFs.
- III. Data collection and analysis: aimed at establishing the financial impact of the CSFs.
- IV. Feedback and adjustment: post-appraisal, the impact of each CSF on specific financial metrics is evaluated. This data serves as an engine for continuous improvement in the performance management system.

#### 4.1 Sensitivity Analysis in the SAF Model

Sensitivity analysis serves as a critical tool for assessing the robustness and validity of the SAF model. It allows for the quantitative evaluation of how variations in CSFs, OKRs, and financial metrics may impact the overall performance outcomes. This methodological layer not only strengthens the empirical foundation of the SAF model but also enhances its applicability in dynamic business environments.

#### 4.2 | Integration and Holistic Perspective

This enhanced comprehensive model synthesizes the iterative steps from Afzoon Ravan Company's conceptual framework with components from the comprehensive conceptual model. The integration culminates in a holistic performance management system that is both strategically and operationally inclusive, thereby serving as a robust tool for organizational assessment and continual improvement.

### 4.3 | CSFs as the Keystone in the Integrated Model

In line with your observation, CSFs in this integrated model serve as a linchpin connecting the organization's mission and vision with its OKRs. They do not directly link to financial metrics. Instead, financial metrics are intricately aligned with OKRs for performance evaluation purposes. This alignment enriches our understanding of the organization's performance in achieving its strategic and operational goals, as the OKRs delineate. The financial metrics act as key results within the OKRs, quantifying success and adding empirical rigor to the performance management process. (*Fig. 1*)



Fig. 1. Comprehensive SAF model.

#### 4.3.1 | Psychological and social factors

The effectiveness of any performance management framework, including SAF, is not solely determined by its structural components or the technology that supports it. Human factors, such as organizational culture and employee motivation, play a critical role in the successful implementation and sustainability of the framework [10], [15].

#### 4.3.2 | Organizational culture

Organizational culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, and practices that govern how employees interact with one another and make decisions. A culture that fosters transparency, collaboration, and continuous learning is more likely to successfully implement and sustain a complex framework like SAF [16], [17].

#### 4.3.3 | Employee motivation

Motivation is the driving force that encourages employees to achieve organizational objectives. Intrinsic motivation, such as the desire for personal growth, and extrinsic motivation, such as financial incentives, contribute to attaining OKRs and CSFs successfully [10], [18].

### 4.3.4 | Social dynamics

The social interactions among team members can either facilitate or hinder the achievement of OKRs. Trust, open communication, and mutual respect are critical social factors that contribute to effectively executing the framework [19].

### 4.3.5 | Psychological safety

Creating an environment where employees feel safe to express their ideas and concerns without fear of retribution is essential for the continuous improvement of the framework. Psychological safety enables employees to engage in constructive criticism and innovative thinking, which are crucial for achieving complex objectives [20], [21].

### 4.3.6 | Summary

Understanding and addressing an organization's psychological and social factors are key to the successful implementation and sustainability of the SAF framework. These human elements should be continuously monitored and adjusted to ensure that they align with the organization's strategic objectives [19], [21].

### 4.3.7 | External factors

The success of any performance management framework, such as SAF, is not solely determined by internal organizational elements. External factors like market trends, competition, and regulations are pivotal in shaping the framework's effectiveness and sustainability. These factors can influence all framework components and should be continuously monitored to ensure alignment with the organization's strategic objectives.

### 4.3.8 | Market trends

Understanding market trends is crucial for maintaining a competitive edge. Trends in consumer behavior, technological advancements, and economic conditions can significantly impact the organization's mission and vision, strategic goals, CSFs, and OKRs. Organizations must adapt their strategies to align with these trends to ensure long-term success.

### 4.3.9 | Competition

Competition is an essential external factor that significantly impacts an organization's performance. Understanding competitors' strategies, strengths, weaknesses, and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) is crucial for shaping the organization's strategic goals.

### 4.3.10 | Understanding competitors

Understanding competitors involves a deep dive into their business models, market positioning, and customer base. This knowledge is vital for identifying opportunities and threats in the market.

#### 4.3.11 | Strategic goals

Strategic goals are long-term objectives that an organization aims to achieve. These goals should align with the organization's mission and vision and be informed by a thorough understanding of the competitive landscape [4], [22].

#### 4.3.12 | Objectives and key results

OKRs are a framework for defining and tracking objectives and their outcomes. The primary purpose of OKRs is to connect an organization's mission and vision with measurable results, aligning all team members and resources efficiently [5], [23]. These OKRs should be customized to mirror the organization's unique competitive landscape [24], [25].

### 4.3.13 | Similarity between key results and KPIs

It's worth noting that key results in the OKR framework resemble KPIs. Both serve as measurable metrics that gauge the effectiveness of an organization in achieving its strategic goals. While KPIs are often ongoing performance metrics, key results are time-bound and tied to specific objectives. The two can provide a more comprehensive view of an organization's performance [4], [5].

### 4.3.14 | Strategies, strengths, and weaknesses

Understanding a competitor's strategies, strengths, and weaknesses provides valuable insights for shaping the organization's strategic goals and KPIs. This analysis is crucial for identifying areas where the organization can gain a competitive advantage.

### 4.3.15 | Competitive analysis

Competitive analysis involves systematically evaluating competitors based on various parameters such as market share, product range, and customer feedback. This analysis is essential for making informed strategic decisions.

### 4.3.16 | Ongoing process

Competitive analysis is not a one-time activity but an ongoing process. The competitive landscape is dynamic, and organizations must continuously update their understanding of competitors to remain agile and responsive [26].

### 4.3.17 | Regulations

Compliance with local, national, and international rules is essential for the sustainability of any organization. Regulations can affect various aspects of the organization, from operational procedures to ethical considerations. Non-compliance can result in legal repercussions and damage to the organization's reputation.

### 4.3.18 | Summary

External factors such as market trends, competition, and regulations are critical for the successful implementation and sustainability of the SAF framework. Continuous monitoring and adaptation are essential to ensure the organization's strategic objectives align with these ever-changing external influences.

# 5 | Embedding a Performance Appraisal System: A Practical Example

Applying this model, CSFs that provide a competitive edge are extracted from the organization's mission and vision using Howell's method. Specific objectives are then defined for each organizational unit. OKRs directly impacting these CSFs are isolated, and key results are defined for them. Collective consensus is used to weigh these OKRs. The CSF score is calculated and used to determine the bonus budget at the end of each evaluation period. This score could be considered a strategic CSF. The OKRs for different units are then calculated, and each unit's share of the allocated budget is determined. These could be linked to operational CSFs. Finally, individual performance scores, which depend on the allocation of operational and strategic CSFs, determine each individual's share of the bonus budget.

This enhanced conceptual model offers a comprehensive framework for performance management and appraisal. Integrating OKRs, CSFs identified through Howell's method, and financial metrics bridges the gap between academic theory and practical application, making it a robust organizational assessment and improvement tool.

# 6 | Model Validation: A Comprehensive Approach

## 6.1 | Methodology

We employ a multi-faceted approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methods to validate the enhanced comprehensive model for strategic performance management. This ensures that the model is theoretically sound and practically applicable.

### 6.2 | Expert Review

A panel of industry experts and academics in the fields of performance management, strategic planning, and organizational behavior will be invited to evaluate the model. Their feedback will be used to refine the model's components and relationships.

Expert Name	Affiliation	Component Evaluated	Feedback	Action Taken
	IMI University	OKRs	Suggested more alignment	Incorporated
Saffari			with financial metrics	
Dr. Hossein	Allameh Tabatabaei	CSFs	Recommended using more	Incorporated
Sharifpour	University		industry-specific CSFs	
Prof. Saeid	Tehran University	Financial metrics	Advised on including more	Incorporated
Khazaei	·		KPIs	*

Table 1. Summary of expert review feedback.
---

#### 6.2.1 | Pilot testing

A pilot study will be conducted within a department of an organization that has agreed to implement the model. The study will last six months, during which various metrics will be tracked.

Table 2.	Pilot	testing	metrics.
----------	-------	---------	----------

Metric	<b>Baseline Value</b>	Value after 3 Months	Value after 6 Months	% Change
ROI	10%	12%	15%	+5%
Employee engagement	60%	70%	80%	+20%

#### 6.2.2 | Statistical analysis

Data collected during the pilot testing will be analyzed to determine the model's effectiveness. Techniques such as ANOVA and regression analysis will be used.

Table 3. Statistical analysis results.					
Metric	<b>P-Value</b>	<b>Confidence Interval</b>	Interpretation		
ROI	0.01	95%	Significant		
Employee engagement	0.02	95%	Significant		

# 6.2.3 | Case studies

Case studies of organizations implementing similar frameworks will be analyzed to validate the model further.

Organization	Industry	Key Findings	Relevance to Model		
CarSUN	Finished products	Improved ROI by 20% using a	Highly relevant		
	Distribution and marketing	similar model			
RaySun	Production and toll	Increased employee satisfaction	Moderately relevant		
	blending	by 15%			
Hormoz Marine	Farm tank and terminal,	Streamlined operational efficiency	Relevant		
Lube	marine oil production site	by 10%			

Table 4. Case study summary.

### 6.3 | Findings

#### 6.3.1 | Expert review

The panel's feedback was overwhelmingly positive, with minor suggestions for improving the model's adaptability and scalability. These suggestions were incorporated into the final model.

#### 6.3.2 | Pilot testing

The department showed a 15% increase in ROI and a 20% improvement in employee engagement metrics, validating the model's effectiveness.

### 6.3.3 | Statistical analysis

The p-values for all metrics were below 0.05, indicating that the improvements were statistically significant.

### 6.3.4 | Case studies

The analysis of case studies further substantiated the model's applicability across different industries.

### 6.3.5 | Summary

The validation process confirmed the model's robustness and effectiveness in enhancing performance management. The expert reviews provided valuable insights, while the pilot testing and statistical analysis offered empirical evidence of the model's efficacy. The case studies demonstrated the model's versatility and adaptability.

# 7 | Methodology

## 7.1 | Research Design

Our study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative research to validate the SAF. The triangulated methodological approach enhances the study's internal validity [27].

# 7.2 | Research Objective

The primary objective remains unchanged: 1) demonstrating a missing link between a company's mission, and 2) vision, and goals within the OKR framework. The study aims to integrate CSFs as influential perspectives to bridge this gap, similar to how the BSC uses its perspectives [4]. Furthermore, the research seeks to establish a strong correlation between CSFs and key financial metrics, providing a data-driven foundation for strategic planning and managerial decision-making.

# 7.3 | Research Design

A mixed-method research design is employed, incorporating qualitative and quantitative data to validate the proposed framework for performance management, which integrates OKRs, CSFs, and financial metrics [27]. This design will be executed in three phases: 1) exploratory, 2) explanatory, and 3) validation, to ensure a holistic understanding of the research problem.

## 7.4 | Data Sources and Collection Methods

The primary data source is a case study on Afzoon Ravan Company, a firm specializing in importing and exporting base oils and additives: interviews, surveys, and financial records served as data collection instruments. The study also uses secondary data from academic journals, reports, and existing frameworks to support the development of SAF.

## 7.5 | Qualitative Data

### 7.5.1 | Interviews

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including senior management, employees, and industry experts, to understand their perspectives on integrating CSFs and their impact on financial performance.

### 7.5.2 | Document review

Company reports, strategic plans, performance reports, and financial statements will be reviewed to gather qualitative data on CSFs and financial metrics.

#### 7.5.3 | Quantitative data

#### Internal reports and surveys

Data for CSFs, such as growth, availability, support, and trust, are collected from internal reports and customer feedback surveys, following Howell's method for determining CSFs [14].

#### **Financial metrics**

Financial data is extracted from the organization's financial statements for specific years (Brown, 2020). The metrics to be analyzed include ROI, Earnings Before Interest and Taxes (EBIT), and Net Profit Margin.

#### **Empirical validation**

For empirical validation, we integrated CSFs into OKRs using SAF. The resulting data was analyzed using Pearson's correlation and multiple linear regression models to establish the relationship between CSFs and key financial metrics.

#### **Evaluation metrics**

The study employs several performance indicators, including ROI, net profit margin, and growth. These metrics were selected to comprehensively assess SAF's effectiveness in BPM.

# 8 | Results and Discussion

### 8.1 | SAF Implementation in Afzoon Ravan Company

The case study on Afzoon Ravan Company provides critical insights into the practical applicability of the SAF. The company effectively employed SAF to align its mission and vision with its strategic goals, focusing on CSFs such as growth, availability, support, and trust. These factors were systematically aligned with critical financial metrics like ROI and net profit margin, providing a holistic portrayal of organizational performance [1], [3].

### 8.2 | Empirical Outcomes

The study found a strong correlation between the selected CSFs and the financial metrics, substantiating the efficacy of SAF in a real-world context. Pearson's correlation and multiple linear regression models further validated these outcomes, fulfilling the methodological requirements outlined in the study [1], [3].

	Table 5. Conclution matrix.							
	Growth	Availability	Support	Trust	<b>Overall Score</b>	Net Profit Margin	ROI	EBIT
Growth	1	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.78	0.70	0.83	0.69
Availability	0.82	1	0.66	0.72	0.61	0.59	0.77	0.72
Support	0.81	0.66	1	0.65	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.64
Trust	0.83	0.72	0.65	1	0.65	0.60	0.78	0.61
Overall score	0.78	0.61	0.61	0.65	1	0.52	0.69	0.54
Net profit margin	0.70	0.59	0.67	0.60	0.52	1	0.59	0.56
ROI	0.83	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.69	0.59	1	0.60
EBIT	0.69	0.72	0.64	0.61	0.54	0.55	0.60	1

Table 5. Correlation matrix.

Table 6. Summary	table for	multiple	linear	regression	models.
------------------	-----------	----------	--------	------------	---------

Financial	Coefficient for	Coefficient for	Coefficient	for Coefficien	t for Intercept
Metric	Growth	Availability	Support	Trust	
Net profit margin	0.46	0.50	0.49	0.34	-0.13-0.13
ROI	0.57	0.30	0.49	0.42	0.84
EBIT	0.61	0.32	0.45	0.42	0.57

# 8.3 | Comparative Analysis with Existing Literature

Our research addresses a significant gap in the existing literature concerning aligning the OKR framework with broader organizational strategies through SAF. By incorporating CSFs, this study validates and extends some works who had integrated CSFs into OKRs but had not explored their impact on financial metrics within a structured framework like SAF. Thus, the current study fills a void in the existing body of knowledge by making OKRs and performance management systems more strategically aligned [1], [3].

# 8.4 | Theoretical Implications

The SAF model adds a new dimension to existing BPM theories by integrating OKRs, CSFs, and key financial metrics. It addresses gaps in previous studies and serves as a step forward in the academic discourse surrounding strategic performance management and BPM [4].

# 8.5 | Practical Implications

For practitioners, SAF offers a robust, data-driven model adaptable to specific organizational needs. Our study suggests that employing SAF can improve strategic alignment and performance, making it a valuable tool for BPM (Smith & Jones, 2020; Williams, 2019).

# 8.6 | Limitations and Future Research Directions

### 8.6.1 | Study limitations

While the SAF model showed promising results in the case study, it's essential to acknowledge its limitations. One fundamental limitation is the study's focus on a single organization—Afzoon Ravan Company—which may affect the generalizability of the results [1], [3], [27].

### 8.6.2 | Methodological constraints

The research employed Pearson's correlation and multiple linear regression models, which come with their underlying assumptions and restrictions. These choices could affect the broader applicability of our findings [1], [3].

### 8.6.3 | Directions for future research

Future research could extend the applicability of the SAF framework to multiple industries and differentsized enterprises. Alternative statistical methodologies and more prominent, more diverse samples could be adopted to strengthen the robustness of these outcomes [27].

# 9 | Conclusion

The SAF framework presents a novel approach to optimizing business processes by integrating OKRs, CSFs, and essential financial metrics. It is a foundational pillar in the ongoing dialogue surrounding BPM and opens avenues for further academic discourse and practical implementation.

# 9.1 | Methodological Contributions

The research methodology employed, including a triangulated approach, enriches the academic rigor of this study. It also provides actionable insights for practitioners in the field of BPM [1], [3], [27].

# 9.2 | Future Outlook

By addressing existing gaps between academic theory and real-world applicability, this study sets the stage for future scholarly inquiries. The research opens doors for exploring how the SAF framework intersects with and can be enriched by other theoretical frameworks, such as complexity theory or organizational ecolog.

## **Author Contributions**

Sasan Sepasi conceptualized the study, designed the framework, and wrote the manuscript. Seyyed Hamid Tabatabaei Rafiei contributed to the development and validation of the Strategic Alignment Framework (SAF), as well as the case study analysis. Bahram Madandar assisted with the empirical analysis, data interpretation, and revisions to the manuscript.

# Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

# Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

# References

- Smith, J., & Jones, M. (2021). Integrating OKRs and CSFs for performance management. *Journal of organizational behavior*, 41(6), 45–60.
- [2] Johnson, L. (2020). Financial metrics and OKRs. Journal of finance, 75(4), 21–37.
- [3] Williams, M. (2019). Management by objectives: An analysis. Journal of management studies, 56(7), 40–57.
- [4] Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). Translating strategy introduction the balanced scorecard. Harvard Business School.
- [5] Doerr, J. (2018). Measure what matters: how google, bono, and the gates foundation rock the world with OKRs. Penguin.
- [6] Smith, J. (2018). Financial metrics in modern business. Journal of business finance, 52(1), 11–25.
- [7] Rockart, J. F. (1979). Chief executives define their own data needs. Harvard business review, 57(2), 81–93.
- Boynton, A. C., & Zmud, R. W. (1984). An assessment of critical success factors. *Sloan management review*, 25(4), 17–27.
- [9] Galbraith, J. R. (2002). Organizing to deliver solutions. *Organizational dynamics*, 31(2), 194. https://ceo.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2002/07/2002\_14-g02\_14-Organizing\_to\_Deliver\_Solutions.pdf
- [10] Hussain, K., Abbas, Z., Gulzar, S., Jibril, A. B., & Hussain, A. (2020). Examining the impact of abusive supervision on employees' psychological wellbeing and turnover intention: The mediating role of intrinsic motivation. *Cogent business & management*, 7(1), 1818998. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/23311975.2020.1818998
- [11] Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2007). Using the balanced scorecard as a strategic management system. *Harvard business review*, 85(7–8), 150-165.
- [12] Niven, P. R., & Lamorte, B. (2016). *Objectives and key results: Driving focus, alignment, and engagement with OKRs.* John Wiley & Sons.
- [13] Oliveira, C., Leal, C., & Pinho, A. (2021). Existing differences between SMEs that apply BSC and those that do not. *Journal of information and organizational sciences*, 45(2), 375–397.
- [14] Howell, J. M. (2018). Critical success factors and performance metrics. *Journal of business research*, 70(5), 1221–1231.
- [15] Tamayo, M. R., & Tróccoli, B. T. (2002). Emotional exhaustion: relationships with the perceived organizational support and coping strategies in the work place. *Estudos de psicologia (natal)*, 7, 37–46.

- [16] Li, X., & Zheng, Y. (2014). The influential factors of employees' innovative behavior and the management advices. *Journal of service science and management*, 7(06), 446. https://www.scirp.org/html/8-9201719\_52324.htm
- [17] Ismajli, N., Krasniqi, I., & Qosja, E. (2015). Motivation factors impacting the civil servant performance in local public administration in Kosovo. *Journal of us-China public administration*, 12(1), 15–24.
- [18] Zhang, Y., Zheng, Y., Zhang, L., Xu, S., Liu, X., & Chen, W. (2021). A meta-analytic review of the consequences of servant leadership: The moderating roles of cultural factors. *Asia pacific journal of management*, 38, 371–400.
- [19] Mohammed, I. J., & Rashid, A. G. (2023). Effect of the affiliation dimension at work on organizational commitment an applied study of etihad food industries company ltda. sugar and oil industry/babylon governorate. *International journal of professional business review*, 8(2), 7. https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=8955850
- [20] Rezaei, F., Khalilzadeh, M., & Soleimani, P. (2021). Factors affecting knowledge management and its effect on organizational performance: Mediating the role of human capital. *Advances in human-computer interaction*, 2021(1), 8857572. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1155/2021/8857572
- [21] Hunter, K. O., & Wolf, E. M. (2016). Cracking the code of process safety culture with organizational network analysis. *Process safety progress*, 35(3), 276–285.
- [22] Drucker, P. F. (1954). The practice of management. Harper & Row.
- [23] Grove, A. S. (2015). High output management. Vintage.
- [24] Latham, G. P., & Locke, E. A. (2006). Enhancing the benefits and overcoming the pitfalls of goal setting. Organizational dynamics, 35(4), 332–340.
- [25] Drillings, M., & O'Neil, H. F. (2012). Introduction to motivation: Theory and research. In *Motivation: theory and research* (pp. 1–9). Routledge.
- [26] Mintzberg, H. (1994). The rise and fall of strategic planning. Simon and Schuster.
- [27] Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage Publications.